

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,493		09/23/2003	Hiroaki Sugita	117257		
25944	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & B	ERRIDO	GE, PLC	CHEN, BRET P			
P.O. BOX 19				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				<u>.</u>	PAPER NUMBER	
				1762		
				DATE MAILED: 09/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Commons	10/667,4	93	SUGITA ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit							
		B. Chen		1762							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on										
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.										
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is										
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
4) ⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.										
=	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
	Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.										
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.								
Applicati	on Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.											
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 Se<i>ptember</i> 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119											
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
Attachment	.(s)										
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary								
3) 🛛 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date	948))/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		O-152)						

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 7-9 in the reply filed on 7/6/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter is the same and thus would not present a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because product claims are a completely different and patentably distinct invention whose search and prosecution would present a serious burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following: (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;

Application/Control Number: 10/667,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

(2) if an article, its method of making;

(3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;

(4) if a mixture, its ingredients;

(5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed to a method. The examiner suggests amending the abstract to reflect same.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a method. The examiner suggests title the abstract to reflect same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is dependent on withdrawn claim 1. Appropriate amendments are requested.

In claim 7 line 1, the term "the coated body" lacks antecedent basis. In addition, it is noted that no coating step is ever mentioned and hence, it is not clear how a "coated body" can be manufactured.

In claim 7 line 4, the term "said hard coating" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 7 line 6, the term "said recesses" lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1762

In claim 7 line 10, the term "soft" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "soft" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The same issue applies to hard in line 11.

In claim 7 line 11, the term "size of 0.1-2.0 mm" is deemed vague and indefinite as to what the measurements is referring to. The same issue applies to "#3000-#10000". Clarification and appropriate amendments are requested.

In claim 9 line 10, the term "macro particles" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "macro" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

In claim 9 lines 12-15, the phrase "such that said surface has the roughness ... of said hard coating." is deemed redundant and should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 1762

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 3232778. JP'778 discloses a method of forming a coating on a cemented carbide cutting tool by CVD or PVD (paragraphs 1-2 of translation). The layers can be titanium carbide and aluminum oxide (paragraph 3) which is subsequently shot peened for strengthening (paragraphs 8-9). However, the reference fails to teach the appropriate sizing.

It is noted that the reference teaches that particle size is important (paragraph 8). Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have determined the optimum value of a cause effective variable such as particle size through routine experimentation in the absence of a showing of criticality given that the reference teaches that particle size is important.

The limitations of claims 8-9 have been addressed above.

Cadien et al. (6,881,674), Ference et al. (6,221,775), and Takagi et al. (6,557,378) teach the conventionality of smoothing a surface while Rosenflanz (6,451,077) and Simpson (4,312,900) teach the conventionality of using shot blasting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1417. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,493

Art Unit: 1762

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bc 8/6/05

BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER